

***Leptospira* spp. in wild small mammals from two forest areas under different anthropogenic pressures in the Western Brazilian Amazon**

Narianne F. de Albuquerque², Maria Isabel N. Di Azevedo³, Paulo Sérgio D'Andrea⁴, André Luís B. de Moura⁵, Bernardo R. Teixeira⁴, Walter Lilenbaum³ and Luciana dos S. Medeiros^{6*}

Registros ORCID:

Narianne Ferreira de Albuquerque – <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4539-1968>

Maria Isabel Nogueira Di Azevedo – <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9882-3130>

Paulo Sérgio D'Andrea – <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7880-8761>

André Luís Botelho de Moura – <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6606-980X>

Bernardo Rodrigues Teixeira – <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9013-9492>

Walter Lilenbaum – <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2434-8728>

Luciana dos Santos Medeiros – <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5145-0915>

ABSTRACT.- Albuquerque N.F., Di Azevedo M.I.N., D'Andrea P.S., De Moura A.L.B., S Lilenbaum V. & Medeiros L.S. ***Leptospira* spp. in wild small mammals from two forest areas under different anthropogenic pressures in the Western Brazilian Amazon.** *Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira* 46:e07861, 2026. Departamento de Saúde Coletiva Veterinária e Saúde Pública, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Rua Doutor Vital Brazil Filho 64, Vital Brasil, Niterói 24230-340, RJ Brazil. E-mail: luciana_medeiros@id.uff.br

This study evaluated the frequency of *Leptospira* spp. infection in wild small mammals from two areas in western Brazilian Amazonia: the Chandless State Park (PEC), a protected area with low human population density, and the Santa Cecília Forest Fragment (FFSC), an area under anthropogenic pressure. A total of 65 animals representing 17 species were captured, and blood, kidney, and urine samples were obtained for serological, molecular, and bacteriological analyses. Serum samples (n=51) were tested by Microscopic Agglutination Test (MAT), kidney and urine samples by *lipL32* PCR, and urine samples by bacterial culture. Species richness was higher in the PEC (13 species) than in the FFSC (six species). Overall, 49.0% (25/51) of serum samples showed seroreactivity to at least one tested serogroup, including 42.1% (8/19) in the PEC and 53.1% (17/32) in the FFSC. The most frequently detected serogroups were Panama, Icterohaemorrhagiae, and Sejroe, with co-agglutination observed in some samples. All bacterial cultures were negative. PCR positivity was similar between areas: 18.5% (5/27) in the PEC and 17.6% (6/34) in the FFSC. The marsupial *Didelphis marsupialis* was the only PCR-positive species in the PEC, while the rodent *Proechimys gardneri* was the only PCR-positive species in the FFSC. The results suggest that *Leptospira* spp. are naturally maintained among wild small mammals in Amazonia. No significant differences in infection rates were detected between the studied areas, although differences in the circulation of *Leptospira* spp. serogroups were observed.

INDEX TERMS: *Leptospira* spp., marsupials, rodents, PCR, serogroups.

RESUMO.- [*Leptospira* spp. em pequenos mamíferos silvestres de duas áreas florestais na Amazônia Ocidental Brasileira sob diferentes pressões antrópicas.] Este estudo avaliou a frequência de infecção por *Leptospira* spp. em pequenos mamíferos silvestres de duas áreas da Amazônia Ocidental Brasileira: o Parque Estadual Chandless (PEC), uma unidade de conservação com baixa densidade populacional humana, e o Fragmento Florestal Santa Cecília (FFSC), uma área sob influência antrópica. Um total de 65 animais representando 17 espécies foi capturado. Amostras de sangue, rim e urina foram coletadas para análises sorológicas, moleculares e bacteriológicas. As amostras de soro (n=51) foram analisadas pela técnica de Soroaglutinação Microscópica (MAT), enquanto as amostras de rim e urina foram submetidas à PCR para o gene *lipL32*, e as amostras de urina a cultura bacteriana. A riqueza de espécies foi maior no PEC (13 espécies) em comparação ao FFSC (6 espécies). No total, 49,0% (25/51) das amostras séricas apresentaram sororeatividade para pelo menos um dos sorogrupos testados,

sendo 42,1% (8/19) no PEC e 53,1% (17/32) no FFSC. Os sorogrupos predominantes foram Panama, Icterohaemorrhagiae e Sejroe, com ocorrência de coaglutinação em algumas amostras. Todas as culturas bacterianas apresentaram resultado negativo. A positividade na PCR foi semelhante entre as áreas estudadas: 18,5% (5/27) no PEC e 17,6% (6/34) no FFSC. O marsupial *Didelphis marsupialis* foi a única espécie PCR-positiva no PEC, enquanto o roedor *Proechimys gardneri* foi a única espécie PCR-positiva no FFSC. Os resultados sugerem que *Leptospira* spp. circula naturalmente entre pequenos mamíferos silvestres da Amazônia. Não foram observadas diferenças significativas nas taxas de infecção entre as áreas avaliadas, embora tenham sido verificadas diferenças na circulação dos sorogrupos de *Leptospira* spp.

TERMOS DE INDEXAÇÃO: *Leptospira* spp., marsupiais, roedores, sorogrupos, PCR.

INTRODUCTION

Leptospirosis is a zoonotic disease caused by spirochete bacteria of the genus *Leptospira*. It is widely distributed worldwide, particularly in tropical regions, where a wide diversity of animal species has been identified as reservoirs. Recent molecular analyses have revealed that the Amazon biome accounts for a substantial proportion of circulating *Leptospira* strains in Brazil, with wild animals representing a significant share of positive hosts (Di Azevedo & Lilenbaum 2025). Investigating the enzootic cycle of *Leptospira* in wild animals provides important insights into the epidemiological dynamics of the disease, which affects wild, domestic, and human populations.

Infections caused by *Leptospira* spp. have been detected in a broad range of small-bodied mammals, particularly rodents and marsupials, across different Brazilian ecosystems (Santos et al. 2017, Mesquita et al. 2018, Abreu et al. 2019, Vieira et al. 2019). These groups are recognized as important renal reservoirs in highly impacted landscapes of the western Amazon basin, where deforestation has resulted in a mosaic of forest fragments (Medeiros et al. 2020). However, data on the occurrence of *Leptospira* in protected areas with low levels of anthropogenic disturbance are still limited. Therefore, data on the presence of *Leptospira* in relatively preserved ecosystems are essential for understanding the diversity of reservoirs of leptospirosis in the Amazon region and the potential influence of anthropogenic impacts on the prevalence of this disease.

Within this context, the present study investigated the occurrence of *Leptospira* spp. in wild small mammals from two forest areas in the western Brazilian Amazon: the Chandless State Park (PEC), a large protected area with low levels of anthropogenic disturbance, and the Santa Cecília Forest Fragment (FFSC), a disturbed area located in a periurban area of the municipality of Rio Branco, Acre State.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval

All necessary biosecurity procedures, including level 3 PPEs, were adopted for the handling of the specimens, and the processing and analysis of the samples. The project was assessed and approved by the FIOCRUZ Committee for the Ethical Use of Animals (CEUA/FIOCRUZ: protocol L-036/2018), and the collection of zoological samples was authorized by SISBIO-ICMBIO through permanent license 13373.

The selection of the study areas

The two areas selected for the present study – Chandless State Park (PEC) and the Santa Cecília Forest Fragment (FFSC) – represent distinct levels of anthropogenic impact in the Brazilian state of Acre (Fig. 1). The Chandless State Park (9°55'07.7" S, 70°09'34.7" W) is a fully protected conservation unit with approximately 695,303 ha of forest, located primarily in the municipality of Manoel Urbano, with some overlap into the neighboring municipalities of Sena Madureira and Santa Rosa do Purus on the Brazilian frontier with Peru. The PEC is a well-preserved area formed by a vegetation mosaic dominated by open rainforest, part of which has extensive stands of bamboo, as well as open forest with palms (Sema 2010). The local mammalian fauna is well conserved and includes many species that are highly sensitive to anthropogenic impacts (Borges et al. 2015). The park is inhabited by only 11 families, with a population density of less than 0.5 inhabitants per square kilometer.

The Santa Cecília Forest Fragment (9°59'25.4" S, 67°42'38.3" W) is a reserve of 830 ha located in the municipality of Rio Branco. The vegetation at this site is dominated by open rainforest with palms. This forest fragment is located within an anthropogenic matrix containing cattle ranches and roads that surround its perimeter. The surrounding area has a relatively high population density and is within a region that is popular for sporting and recreational activities.

Capture of the animals and collection of biological samples

The animals were captured using Sherman® and Tomahawk® live traps distributed along 15-meter transects

across two vegetation strata (ground and forest understory). The traps were baited with a mixture of oats, banana, bacon, and peanut butter. The traps were checked daily for the presence of animals. Pitfall traps were also used at the two sites. The total capture effort comprised 600 trap-nights with live traps and 120 trap-nights with pitfall traps at PEC, and 375 and 100 trap-nights, respectively, at FFSC. The difference in trapping effort reflects the larger size and more remote location of PEC, requiring a more extensive sampling regime. In FFSC, access was easier and the fragment is smaller, allowing a more concentrated effort. This difference may have influenced capture rates and should be considered when comparing species richness between areas.

Once captured, the small mammals were transported to the field laboratory, where they were anesthetized using 10% ketamine hydrochloride and 2% xylazine hydrochloride prior to sample collection. Blood samples were collected by cardiac puncture. Euthanasia was performed according to CEUA/FIOCRUZ recommendations, followed by necropsy for the collection of kidney and urine samples for the molecular and bacteriological diagnosis of *Leptospira*. The kidneys were sectioned and placed in 1.5-mL microtubes (Eppendorf®, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) containing 1 mL of absolute alcohol. The urine was collected directly from the bladder using a 1-mL syringe, which was accessed through an incision in the abdominal wall. These biological samples were preserved at temperatures below -20°C until processing in the laboratory.

Bacterial culture

Three to five drops of urine were inoculated into 5 mL of EMJH liquid medium (Difco, BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), 5 mL of Fletcher semisolid medium (Difco, BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), and 5 mL of EMJH liquid medium supplemented with a cocktail of antibiotics, denominated STAFF (Chakraborty et al. 2011). The culture media were sent for analysis from the Veterinary Microbiology Laboratory of the Federal University of Acre, in Rio Branco, to the Veterinary Bacteriological Laboratory of the Fluminense Federal University, in Niterói, where the bacterial growth was monitored over a 24-week period.

Serology

Anti-*Leptospira* antibodies were detected using the Microscopic Agglutination Test (MAT) with 10 live antigens. This set of antigens included serovars Australis (Australis serogroup), Autumnalis (Autumnalis serogroup), Bataviae (Bataviae serogroup), Canicola (Canicola serogroup), Pomona (Pomona serogroup), Panama (Panama serogroup), Grippotyphosa (Grippotyphosa serogroup), Hardjoprajitno (Sejroe serogroup), and the Copenhageni and Icterohaemorrhagiae (RGA) serovars of the Icterohaemorrhagiae serogroup.

Blood samples were centrifuged at $1,500 \times g$ for 10 minutes to obtain serum. A dilution of 1:50 was used to identify the seroreactive animals. The reactive samples were then examined using serial dilutions from 1:50 to 1:1600, with the highest positive dilution being considered the titer of the serum. The titration cutoff point was 100 (Fornazari et al. 2018), with the sample being considered reactive when there was at least 50% agglutination at a magnification of 100x under a dark-field microscope.

Molecular analysis

The DNA was extracted from the urine samples using the Wizard SV Genomic DNA Purification System kit (Promega, Madison, USA). The DNA of the kidney samples was extracted using the DNA easy Blood & Tissues kit (Qiagen, Iowa, USA). A partial sequence of the *lipL32* gene (241bp), which is present in pathogenic leptospires, was amplified by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) using the primers LipL32-45F: 5'-AAG CAT TAC CGC TTG TGG TG-3' and LipL32- 286R: 5'-GAA CTC CCA TTT CAG CGA TT-3' (Stoddard et al. 2009). For each test, ultrapure water was used as a negative control in all reactions, while 10 fg of DNA extracted from *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Copenhageni (Fiocruz L1-130) was used as a positive control. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis 1.5–2% agarose gel and visualized under ultraviolet light after being stained with GelRed®.

In addition, the concentration of DNA amplified from the PCR-positive samples was not sufficient for sequencing and, therefore, the *Leptospira* species infecting the animals could not be identified.

Statistical analyses

The prevalence of seroreactive and PCR-positive samples was calculated for each area, based on the proportion of the captured animals that tested positive for each type of analysis. The frequency of each serogroup was also calculated for the results of the MAT. The variation in the prevalence of positive samples between areas was evaluated using Fisher's exact test due to the small sample sizes, with a significance level of $p < 0.05$. This analysis was performed using the statistical software jamovi (The jamovi project 2025) and R version 4.5 (R Core Team 2025).

RESULTS

A total of 65 animals were captured during the present study, including 29 from the Chandless State Park (PEC) and 36 from the Santa Cecília Forest Fragment (FFSC). The FFSC had the lowest species richness of the two areas, with only six species being identified, of which, four were rodents (Rodentia) – *Proechimys brevicauda*, *Proechimys gardneri*, *Mesomys hispidus* (Echimyidae: Eumysopinae), and *Oecomys* gr. *bicolor* (Cricetidae: Sigmodontinae) – while the two others – *Philander canus* and *Monodelphis glirina* – were marsupials (Didelphimorphia: Didelphidae). By contrast, a total of 13 species were recorded in the PEC, including seven rodents and six marsupials. The rodents included five sigmodontines – *Euryoryzomys nitidus*, *Hylaeamys perenensis*, *Neacomys musseri*, *Neacomys spinosus*, and *Oecomys* gr. *bicolor* – and two echimyids, *Proechimys longicaudatus*, and *Rhipidomys gardneri*. The marsupials were all didelphids – *Didelphis marsupialis*, *Marmosa* (*Micoureus*) *constantiae*, *Marmosa* (*Micoureus*) *rutleri*, *Marmosops noctivagus*, *Marmosops ocellatus*, and *P. canus*.

Each captured specimen was assigned an individual identification code (Tables 1 and 2). Most animals were tested for *Leptospira* by both PCR and serology, except for a few individuals where blood (n=14), urine (n=12), or kidney (n=6) samples could not be collected due to field constraints.

PCR positivity was similar between areas: 18.5% (5/27; 95% CI: 6.3–38.1%) in the PEC and 17.6% (6/34; 95% CI: 6.8–34.5%) in the FFSC (Fisher's exact test, $p = 1.000$). The marsupial *Didelphis marsupialis* was the only PCR-positive species in the PEC, while the rodent *Proechimys gardneri* was the only PCR-positive species in the FFSC.

Serological analysis was performed on 51 serum samples. Seroprevalence was 42.1% (8/19; 95% CI: 20.3–66.5%) in the PEC and 53.1% (17/32; 95% CI: 34.7–70.9%) in the FFSC. The difference was not statistically significant (Fisher's exact test, $p = 0.196$). The predominant serogroups were Panama, Icterohaemorrhagiae, and Sejroe. The relative frequency of each serogroup recorded in the MAT is shown for the two study areas in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

This study is the first to detect antibodies against the Bataviae, Canicola, Pomona, Icterohaemorrhagiae, Sejroe, and Grippotyphosa serogroups in rodents and marsupials from this region and also represents the first serological investigation in a strictly protected conservation unit in the western Brazilian Amazon.

Most seroreactive animals showed low titers (100), indicating past exposure. Although infrequent, higher titers (400 and 800) suggest recent infection, especially the titer of 800 (Autumnalis) recorded in a *Proechimys gardneri* from FFSC. The predominance of low titers is consistent with findings in capybaras from the same region (Albuquerque et al. 2017), which also showed predominantly low titers for the Shermani, Pomona, and Icterohaemorrhagiae serogroups.

In the present study, the predominant serogroups differed between areas: Panama and Sejroe in PEC vs. Panama and Icterohaemorrhagiae in FFSC. The presence of the Sejroe serogroup in a protected area is noteworthy and suggests that this serogroup (or serovars belonging to it) may circulate among wild reservoirs in preserved environments. However, within the limits of this two-area comparison, we did not detect a significant difference in infection rates between areas, although serogroup composition varied. Although numerically different (42.1% in PEC vs. 53.1% in FFSC), this difference was not statistically significant (Fisher's exact test, $p = 0.196$), likely due to the relatively small sample sizes, which limited statistical power.

As the conditions found in the Amazon biome are highly favorable to the persistence of *Leptospira* spp. in the environment, the high levels of seroreactivity recorded in the present study were expected. Recent data show that the Amazon biome accounts for a substantial proportion of circulating *Leptospira* strains in Brazil, with wild animals representing a significant share of positive hosts (Di Azevedo & Lilenbaum 2025). Overall seroreactivity was high in both study areas, with frequencies similar to those previously reported in capybaras from western Amazonia (Albuquerque et al. 2017). In contrast, previous studies in small mammals from the Atlantic Forest and other Amazonian regions reported lower seroprevalence values (Lins & Lopes 1984, Vieira et al. 2019, Medeiros et al. 2020), reinforcing the circulation of *Leptospira* in Amazonian ecosystems. Although most antibody titers were low, a few individuals presented titers of 400 and 800, which may suggest recent exposure. In addition, the seroprevalence observed in PEC was higher than that reported for other protected areas in Brazil (Santos et al. 2017, Abreu et al. 2019).

In contrast, a recent study in Atlantic Forest conservation units found a markedly higher PCR prevalence (56%) in wild small mammals with identification of *Leptospira interrogans* belonging to the Icterohaemorrhagiae serogroup by sequencing (Di Azevedo et al. 2025). In contrast with previous studies in the Peruvian Amazon (59%), western Brazilian Amazon (44.7%), and Brazilian Atlantic Forest (30%) (Bunnell et al. 2000, Vieira et al. 2019, Medeiros et al. 2020), the prevalence of PCR-positive individuals was low in both areas surveyed in the present study (approximately 18%). The marsupial *D. marsupialis* was the only PCR-positive species in PEC, while *Proechimys gardneri* was the only one in the FFSC (Patton et al. 2000, Santos-Filho et al. 2015, Borges-Matos et al. 2016). However, the absence of quantitative data prevents us from confirming these species as true reservoirs.

As expected, species richness was higher in PEC (13 species) than in FFSC (six species). Despite this difference, no significant differences in infection rates were observed between the two areas ($p > 0.05$). These findings suggest that *Leptospira* circulates among wild small mammals in both studied environments, although differences in serogroup composition were observed between sites.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. The absence of sequencing prevented species-level identification of the leptospires detected by PCR. The relatively small sample size ($n=65$) limited statistical power to detect subtle differences between areas, as reflected in the wide confidence intervals for prevalence estimates. Additionally, MAT detects antibodies, indicating exposure rather than active infection, therefore, we cannot distinguish between past and current infections.

CONCLUSION

The study detected the circulation of *Leptospira* spp. among wild small mammals in two forest areas of the western Brazilian Amazon. No significant differences in infection rates were observed between the studied areas, although differences in serogroup distribution were detected. These findings indicate natural circulation of *Leptospira* in both areas, but further studies with larger sample sizes and molecular characterization of circulating strains are necessary to confirm the role of these species as reservoirs and to better understand the epidemiology of *Leptospira* in Amazonian environments.

Acknowledgements. -We are grateful to the teams of the Laboratory of Veterinary Microbiology and Immunology at the Federal University of Acre, the Laboratory of Veterinary and Bacteriology at the Federal Fluminense University, the Laboratory of the Biology and Parasitology of Wild Mammals Reservoirs at Fiocruz, Acre Federal Institute for Education, Science, and Technology, as well as the administrators of Chandless State Park. WL is a CNPq and FAPERJ research fellow. This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) - Finance Code 001, and by the following grants: Institutional Agreement IOC-Fiocruz/IFAC; CNPq 428213/2016-2 and PPSUS/FAPAC 001/2015 to Dr. LM; CNPq 439208/2018-1 to Dr. PD'A; PPBio/CNPq 457540/2012-5 to Dr. Elder Morato and Dr. Marcus Silveira; and CNPq 157533/2015-8.

Conflict of interest statement. - The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Credit author statement. -Conceptualization, L.S.M. and P.S.D.A.; Methodology, N.F.A., A.L.B.M., M.I.N.D.A. and B.R.T.; Investigation, N.F.A., A.L.B.M. and P.S.D.A.; Formal analysis, B.R.T.; Data curation, N.F.A., B.R.T., M.I.N.D.A.; Writing-original draft, N. F. A., M.I.N.D.A and A.L.B.M.; Writing-review & editing, N.F.A., P.S.D.A. and L.S.M.; Supervision, L.S.M., P.S.D.A. and W.L.; Project administration, L.S.M.

Data availability statement. - The authors report that the study data are available to the scientific community and can be requested by contacting the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Abreu JAP, Krawczak FS, Guedes IB, Souza-Filho AF, Souza GO, Binder LC, Heinemann MB. Frequency of anti-*Leptospira* spp. antibodies in dogs and wild small mammals from rural properties and conservation units in southern Brazil. *One Health*. 2019;8:100104. <http://doi:10.1016/j.onehlt.2019.100104>
- Albuquerque NF, Martins G, Medeiros L, Lilenbaum W, Ribeiro VMF. The role of capybaras as carriers of leptospires in periurban and rural areas in the western Amazon. *Acta Trop*. 2017;169:57-61. <http://doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.01.017>.
- Borges LHM, Calouro AM, Sousa JRD. Large and medium-sized mammals from Chandless State Park, Acre, Brazil. *Mastozool Neotrop*. 2015;22(2):265-77.
- Borges-Matos C, Aragón S, Silva MNF, Marie-Josée F, Guilherme EM. Importance of the matrix in determining small-mammal assemblages in an Amazonian forest-savanna mosaic. *Biol Conserv*. 2016;204:417-25. <http://doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2016.10.037>.
- Bunnell JE, Hice CL, Watts VM, Montrueil V, Tesh RB, Vinetz JM. Detection of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. infections among mammals captured in the Peruvian Amazon basin region. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2000;63:255-8. <http://doi:10.4269/ajtmh.2000.63.255>.
- Chakraborty A, Miyahara S, Villanueva SY, Saito M, Gloriani NG, Yoshida SI. A novel combination of selective agents for isolation of *Leptospira* species. *Microbiol Immunol*. 2011;55(7):494-501. <http://doi:10.1111/j.1348-0421.2011.00347.x>.

- Di Azevedo MIN, Lilenbaum W. Ecological range and host-biome associations of pathogenic *Leptospira* in Brazil: a One Health perspective from a tropical area. *Acta Trop.* 2025;271:107895.<http://doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2025.107895>
- Di Azevedo MIN, Soares ACDR, Ezepha C, Carvalho-Costa FA, Vieira AS, Lilenbaum W. Genetic characterization and zoonotic potential of *Leptospira interrogans* identified in small non-flying mammals from Southeastern Atlantic Forest, Brazil. *Trop Med Infect Dis.* 2025;10(3):62.<http://doi:10.3390/tropicalmed10030062>
- Fornazari F, Langoni H, Marson PM, Nóbrega DB, Teixeira CR. *Leptospira* reservoirs among wildlife in Brazil: beyond rodents. *Acta Trop.* 2018;178:205-12. <http://doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.11.019>.
- Lins ZC, Lopes ML. Isolation of *Leptospira* from wild forest animals in Amazonian Brazil. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1984;78(1):124-6.
- Medeiros LDS, Braga SDC, Azevedo MIN, Peruquetti RC, Albuquerque NF, D'Andrea PS, et al. Small mammals as carriers/hosts of *Leptospira* spp. in the Western Amazon Forest. *Front Vet Sci.* 2020;7:569004. <http://doi:10.3389/fvets.2020.569004>.
- Mesquita GSS, Rocha KS, Monteiro TRM, Rosário MKSD, Baia IWM, Pereira HS, Cerqueira VD, Moraes CCG. Detection of antibodies against *Leptospira* spp in free-living marsupials caught in the Eastern Amazon. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2018;51(3):368-71. <http://doi:10.1590/0037-8682-0236-2017>.
- Patton JL, Silva MNF, Malcolm JR. Mammals of the Rio Juruá and the evolutionary and ecological diversification of Amazonia. *Bull Am Mus Nat Hist.* 2000;244:1-306. [http://doi:10.1206/0003-0090\(2000\)244<0001:MOTRJA>2.0.CO;2](http://doi:10.1206/0003-0090(2000)244<0001:MOTRJA>2.0.CO;2).
- R Core Team (2025). R: A Language and environment for statistical computing. (Version 4.5) [Computer software]. Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org>. (R packages retrieved from CRAN snapshot 2025-05-25).
- Santos LF, Guimarães MF, Souza GO, Silva IWG, Santos JR, Azevedo SS, et al. Seroepidemiological survey on *Leptospira* spp. infection in wild and domestic mammals in two distinct areas of the semi-arid region of northeastern Brazil. *Trop Anim Health Prod.* 2017;49(8):1715-22. <http://doi:10.1007/s11250-017-1382-9>.
- Santos-Filho M, Lázari PR, Sousa CPF, Canale GR. Trap efficiency evaluation for small mammals in the southern Amazon. *Acta Amaz.* 2015;45(2):187-94. <http://doi:10.1590/1809-4392201401953>.
- Secretaria de Estado de Meio Ambiente do Acre. Plano de manejo do Parque Estadual Chandless. Rio Branco: SEMA; 2010. v. I-IV.
- Stoddard RA, Gee JE, Wilkins PP, McCaustland K, Hoffmaster AR. Detection of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. through TaqMan polymerase chain reaction targeting the LipL32 gene. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2009;64(3):247-55. <http://doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2009.03.014>.
- The jamovi project (2025). jamovi. (Version 2.7) [Computer Software]. Retrieved from <https://www.jamovi.org>.
- Vieira AS, D'Andrea PS, Vilela RV, Loretto D, Jaeger LH, Carvalho-Costa FA, Lilenbaum W. Pathogenic *Leptospira* species are widely disseminated among small mammals in Atlantic Forest biome. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* 2019;66(3):1195-201. <http://doi:10.1111/tbed.13135>.

Figure




Fig. 1. Location of the Chandless State Park (PEC) and the Santa Cecília Forest Fragment (FFSC) in Acre state, western Brazilian Amazon.

Table 1. Molecular (PCR) and serological (MAT) detection of *Leptospira* spp. in wild small mammals from Chandless State Park (PEC), Acre, Brazil

ID	Order/species	Sample type (PCR)	<i>lipL32</i> - PCR	Serology
Rodentia				
21895	<i>Hylaeamys perenensis</i>	Kidney	Negative	*
21912	<i>Hylaeamys perenensis</i>	Kidney	Negative	Sejroe (400)
21933	<i>Hylaeamys perenensis</i>	Kidney	Negative	Aust/Can/Pan(100);Aut/Bat (400)
21934	<i>Hylaeamys perenensis</i>	Kidney	Negative	*
21940	<i>Hylaeamys perenensis</i>	Kidney	Negative	*
21952	<i>Hylaeamys perenensis</i>	Kidney	Negative	*
21955	<i>Hylaeamys perenensis</i>	Kidney	Negative	Hardjo/Pan(100)
21910	<i>Oecomys</i> gr. <i>bicolor</i>	Kidney	Negative	—
21946	<i>Oecomys</i> gr. <i>bicolor</i>	—	—	—
21953	<i>Oecomys</i> gr. <i>bicolor</i>	Kidney	Negative	Aust/Cop (100); Aut/Bat/Pan (200); Gri/Sejroe (400)
21954	<i>Oecomys</i> gr. <i>bicolor</i>	Kidney	Negative	Aust/Bat/Cop/Pan (100); Gri/Sejroe (200)
21941	<i>Euryoryzomys nitidus</i>	Kidney	Negative	*
21932	<i>Rhipidomys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	—
21944	<i>Neacomys musseri</i>	Kidney	Negative	—
21945	<i>Neacomys spinosus</i>	Kidney	Negative	—
21947	<i>Proechimys longicaudatus</i>	—	—	—
Didelphimorphia				
21897	<i>Didelphis marsupialis</i>	Urine	Negative	*
21911	<i>Didelphis marsupialis</i>	Urine	Positive	*
21915	<i>Didelphis marsupialis</i>	Urine	Positive	*
21937	<i>Didelphis marsupialis</i>	Urine	Positive	*
21943	<i>Didelphis marsupialis</i>	Urine	Positive	Not reactive
21957	<i>Didelphis marsupialis</i>	Urine	Positive	*
21896	<i>Marmosops noctivagus</i>	Kidney	Negative	—
21913	<i>Marmosa (Micoureus) rutteri</i>	Kidney	Negative	Sejroe (200)
21935	<i>Marmosa (Micoureus) constantiae</i>	Kidney	Negative	—
21936	<i>Marmosa (Micoureus) constantiae</i>	Kidney	Negative	Aut/Bat/Pan (100)
21914	<i>Marmosops ocellatus</i>	Kidney	Negative	—
21942	<i>Philander canus</i>	Kidney	Negative	Sejroe(100)
21956	<i>Marmosops ocellatus</i>	Kidney	Negative	—

*Reactive at 1:50 dilution (titer <100, below the established cutoff of ≥100). — = Not collected (Sample unavailable for the indicated analysis). Aus: Australis; Aut: Autumnalis; Bat: Bataviae; Can: Canicola; Cop: Copenhageni; Gri: Grippotyphosa; Pan: Panama; Pom: Pomona; RGA: Icterohaemorrhagiae; Hardjo: Sejroe serogroup. All captured individuals are shown to document species richness.

Table 2. Molecular (PCR) and serological (MAT) detection of *Leptospira* spp. in wild small mammals from Santa Cecilia Forest Fragment (FFSC), Acre, Brazil

ID	Order/species	Sample type (PCR)	lipL32-PCR	Serology
Rodentia				
22081	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	Aus/Can(100); Aut/Bat/Cop/Pan/Pom (200); Gri/RGA (400)
22083	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	Pan (200)
22085	<i>Proechimys</i> sp.	Kidney	Negative	—
22086	<i>Proechimys</i> sp.	Kidney	Negative	*
22088	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	Gri/Pan/Pom (100)
22089	<i>Proechimys breviceauda</i>	Kidney	Negative	Gri (100); Pan (200)
22090	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Positive	Sejroe/RGA (100); Gri/Pan (200)
22099	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Positive	*
22100	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Positive	*
22101	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Positive	Pan (100)
22103	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	—
22105	<i>Proechimys breviceauda</i>	Kidney	Negative	Cop (100);Gri (200);Pan (400)
22133	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Positive	*
22134	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	*
22135	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	*
22137	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	Aus/Bat/Cop/Pom/RGA (100)/Gri/Pan(200)
22138	<i>Proechimys breviceauda</i>	Kidney	Negative	Bat/Gri (100);Pan (200)
22139	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	Pan (100)
22155	<i>Proechimys breviceauda</i>	Kidney	Negative	*
22156	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	Not reactive
22158	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Negative	Cop (100)
22162	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Kidney	Positive	Can/Cop/Pan (100); Gri/Sejroe (200);Aut (800)
22164	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Urine	Negative	*
22643	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Urine	Negative	Not reactive
22644	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Urine	Negative	Not reactive
22645	<i>Proechimys</i> sp.	Urine	Negative	*
22646	<i>Proechimys gardneri</i>	Urine	Negative	Not reactive
22159	<i>Mesomys hispidus</i>	Kidney	Negative	Bat (100);Aus/Aut/Cop/Sejroe (200)
22161	<i>Mesomys hispidus</i>	Kidney	Negative	*
22641	<i>Mesomys hispidus</i>	Urine	Negative	Aut/Bat/Hardjo/Pan (100)
22082	<i>Mesomys hispidus</i>	Kidney	Negative	Gri/Hardjo/RGA (100);Pan (400)
22166	<i>Oecomys</i> gr. <i>bicolor</i>	—	—	—
22647	<i>Oecomys</i> gr. <i>bicolor</i>	—	—	Aut/Bat/Gri/Pan(100);Sejroe(200)
22642	<i>Oecomys</i> gr. <i>bicolor</i>	Kidney	Negative	—
Didelphimorphia				
22141	<i>Philander canus</i>	Kidney	Negative	Not reactive
22104	<i>Monodelphis glirina</i>	Kidney	Negative	Cop/Pom (100);Pan (200)

*Reactive at 1:50 dilution (titer <100, below the established cutoff of ≥100). — = Not collected (Sample unavailable for the indicated analysis). Aus: Australis; Aut: Autumnalis; Bat: Bataviae; Can: Canicola; Cop: Copenhageni; Gri: Grippotyphosa; Pan: Panama; Pom: Pomona; RGA: Icterohaemorrhagiae; Hardjo: Sejroe serogroup. All captured

individuals are shown to document species richness.

Online First

Table 3. Serogroup-specific reactivity among MAT-positive wild small mammals from PEC and FFSC, Acre, Brazil

Serogroup	Reactivity to each serogroup among MAT-positive animals (%)	
	PEC (n = 8)	FFSC (n = 17)
Australis	25.0%	17.6%
Autumnalis	50.0%	35.3%
Bataviae	50.0%	35.3%
Canicola	12.5%	11.8%
Icterohaemorrhagiae (Copenhageni)	25.0%	41.2%
Grippotyphosa	25.0%	58.8%
Sejroe	75.0%	41.2%
Panama	62.5%	88.2%
Pomona	0.0%	23.5%
Icterohaemorrhagiae (RGA)	0.0%	23.5%

Values represent the percentage of reactive animals that tested positive for each serogroup. Because individual animals often reacted to multiple serogroups (co-agglutination), the percentages are not mutually exclusive and sum to more than 100%.